| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-----|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/662,122 | SARMÁVUORI, JUHA | l |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Leila Malek | 2611 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Applie | ation: <u>Non-Final</u> | |
| (1) <u>Leila Malek</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) <u>Arlene Neal</u> . | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>09/20/2007</u> | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: |] Applicant's representative No | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| · | • | • | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| 1, 12, and 23 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | • | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Allowability of claims, 112 second paragraph issue of claws reached. | | | ent |
| Part III. | | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | tion. The examiner will pro- | ride a written summary of the substar ance of the interview, since the intervi | nce |
| | · | | |
| | | | |
| | · | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | Applicant/Applicant's Repres | entative Signature – if appropriate) | |